UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/565,092	04/05/2007	Shitong Yang	291903-1010	9035
=	7590 10/31/200 YDEN, HORSTEMEY		EXAM	INER
600 GALLERIA	A PARKWAY, S.E.		NGUYEN, TAI V	
STE 1500 ATLANTA, GA	A 30339-5994		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER	
			3729	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			10/31/2008	PAPER

# Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/565,092	YANG ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	TAI NGUYEN	3729	
The MAILING DATE of this communication a Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet wi	h the correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory peri  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by sta Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the may earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNIC 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a rood will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON tute, cause the application to become AB	CATION.  Seply be timely filed  THS from the mailing date of this communication  ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
Responsive to communication(s) filed on 11     This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☑ To 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice under the second se	his action is non-final. wance except for formal matte		is
Disposition of Claims			
4) Claim(s) 1-14 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) 5-14 is/are withdray 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1,3 and 4 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) 2 is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and Application Papers  9) The specification is objected to by the Examination The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) and applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corr	awn from consideration.  d/or election requirement.  iner. accepted or b) □ objected to be drawing(s) be held in abeyan	ce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).	( <b>d</b> ).
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the	Examiner. Note the attached	Office Action or form PTO-152.	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for forei  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority docume  2. Certified copies of the priority docume  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority docume  application from the International Bure  * See the attached detailed Office action for a least content of the priority documents.	ents have been received. ents have been received in A riority documents have been eau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	oplication No received in this National Stage	
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s	ummary (PTO-413) )/Mail Date formal Patent Application ·	

Application/Control Number: 10/565,092 Page 2

Art Unit: 3729

### **DETAILED ACTION**

### Response to Amendment

1. In regards to the merits of Kurogi et al (US 5,396,969), in view of Nuttall et al (US 4,743,331) and further in view of Yang (US 6,737,603) in the previous Office Action (Non Final Rejection filed 3/11/2008) the applicants' argument that Kurogi does not teach the features of the coil winder, a locator of inductor, the locator of inductor being located under welding head of the spot electric welder have been found to be persuasive.

Accordingly, the previous Non Final Rejection has been withdrawn.

#### Election/Restrictions

2. This application contains claims directed to more than one species of the generic invention. These species are deemed to lack unity of invention because they are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1.

The species are as follows:

Species I, claims 1-4; and

Species II, claims 5-14.

Applicant is required, in reply to this action, to elect a single species to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. The reply must also identify the claims readable on the elected species, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered non-responsive unless accompanied by an election.

Art Unit: 3729

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

The claims are deemed to correspond to the species listed above in the following manner:

Species I, require an apparatus for producing an electronic inductor comprising a coil winder, a locator of inductor, and a spot electric welder, the locator of inductor being located under a welding head of the spot electric weld and a jig of the welding head, which is lacking in Species II.

Species II, require brushless DC motor having a connecting pole rotatable around an axis thereof; a locator compliantly set around the connecting pole of the DC motor and capable of rotating along with the connecting pole, the locator defining a recess in a middle thereof for detachably holding the electronic inductor therein, which is lacking in Species I.

The following claim(s) are generic: there are no generic claims.

The species listed above do not relate to a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 because, under PCT Rule 13.2, the species lack the same or corresponding special technical features for the following reasons: set forth above.

3. Newly submitted claims 5-14 are directed to an invention that is independent or distinct from the invention originally claimed for the reasons set forth above.

Since applicant has received an action on the merits for the originally presented invention (i. e. Species I), this invention has been constructively elected by original presentation for prosecution on the merits. Accordingly, claims 5-14 have been withdrawn from consideration as being directed to a non-elected invention. See 37 CFR 1.142(b) and MPEP § 821.03.

Application/Control Number: 10/565,092 Page 4

Art Unit: 3729

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

5. Claims 1, 3 and 4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Yamaguchi Shigetoshi (JP 2001-095201) in view of Engmann et al (US 4,483,490) and further in view of Yang (US 6,373,603).

As applied to claim 1, Yamaguchi Shigetoshi disclose an apparatus for producing an electronic inductor comprising: a armature winding (e. g. 24C), a locator of inductor as armature (e. g. 32) and a spot electric welder as read as cylindrical electrode (e. g. 60), the locator of inductor being located under a welding head of the spot electric weld (see Fig. 3) and a jig as housing (e. g. 80) of the welding head, the locator of inductor connecting with a brush DC motor (e. g. 20) via a connecting pole, the brushless DC motor connecting with single chip as module (e. g. 35).

However, Yamaguchi Shigetoshi does not teach a coil winder connected with a motor.

Engmann teach a coil winder (e.g. 1) was connected with a motor (e.g. 60).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at this time the invention was made to have modified the method of Yamaguchi Shigetoshi by including a coil winder, as taught by Engmann, to positively provide a coil winder in which both winding ends of completed wire coil and sufficiently can be weld to other ends (col. 1, lines 24-26).

Yang, teach a digital display screen (e. g. 48).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at this time the invention was made to have modified the method of Yamaguchi Shigetoshi by including a digital display screen, as taught by Yang, to positively provide a welding quickly and reliably (col. 1, lines 43-44).

As applied to claim 3, Yang discloses the spot electric welder is a welder that can weld enameled wires directly (col. 3, lines 52-59).

As applied to claim 4, Yang discloses a camera CCD (e.g. 5) is added to the front of the apparatus (see fig. 1).

### Allowable Subject Matter

6. Claim 2 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

### Response to Arguments

7. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-4 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Application/Control Number: 10/565,092 Page 6

Art Unit: 3729

### Conclusion

- 8. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.
- 9. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to TAI NGUYEN whose telephone number is (571)272-4567. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F (7:30 A.M 4:30 P.M).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Peter Vo can be reached on 571-272-4690. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

September 4, 2008

TN.

/DAVID P. BRYANT/

Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3726